

**CONTRIBUTIONS FROM ENTREPRENEURIAL UNIVERSITIES TO THE REGIONAL
INNOVATION ECOSYSTEM OF BOSTON**

SIMONE BORUCK KLEIN

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DO OESTE DO PARANÁ (UNIOESTE)

MARIA CELESTE REIS LOBO DE VASCONCELOS

FPL EDUCACIONAL (FUNDAÇÃO PEDRO LEOPOLDO/MG)

REGINALDO DE JESUS CARVALHO LIMA

FACULDADE PEDRO LEOPOLDO (FPL)

FREDERICO CESAR MAFRA PEREIRA

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS (UFMG)

SIMONE CRISTINA DUFLOTH

FUNDAÇÃO JOÃO PINHEIRO - FJP/MG

CONTRIBUTIONS FROM ENTREPRENEURIAL UNIVERSITIES TO THE REGIONAL INNOVATION ECOSYSTEM OF BOSTON

1 Introduction

The new era of economics has sought in science, in institutions that produce knowledge and in the relationships of individuals with each other, a natural means of generating wealth. The generation and exploration of new ideas have become, in this new paradigm, a source of resource creation (Bueno, 2017). The social changes occurred by the development of science and technology and the need for sustainable development of organizations have provided growth in innovations both at the firm level, as well as at the regional and countries level. This is proven through regional, countries and also economic blocs indicators (Vasconcelos, 2017).

As a consequence of the competitive condition of contemporary society and the exponential advance of knowledge and innovation, it is necessary for Universities, important institutions that cooperate with the development of knowledge and innovation, to readjust their role in the accomplishment of their social function. It is in this context that the university, in addition to fulfilling its basic education and research missions, also has, currently, a third fundamental mission: to collaborate for economic and social development. As a consequence of this evolution in the role of universities, the expression "Entrepreneurial University" emerged. (Etzkowitz & Zhou, 2017; Centobelli, Cerchione & Esposito, 2019).

As main characteristics of these institutions are the stimulus to the formation of the entrepreneurial individual, business activities of members of the academic community, the creation of new companies, collaboration networks in research, multilateral interaction processes and contractual researches (Etzkowitz, 2013; Salamzadeh, Salamzadeh & Daraei 2011).

Universities as an innovation center present differences in the structure and internal organization of the institution, in the transformation of science, in the ways of financing, in the profile of researchers and in teaching. Universities incorporate entrepreneurial dimensions and the interconnected companies absorb academic dimensions, providing society with socioeconomic development and important competences (Fagerberg, Mowery & Nelson, 2005).

In this study, the research scope is directed to the Entrepreneurial University and its participation in this interface, belonging to the system of local innovation which in this research is characterized as an innovation ecosystem, classification resulting from the intrinsic characteristics that make up the innovation system of the region of Boston. The Boston ecosystem is internationally recognized for presenting an innovation center and global entrepreneurship largely based on knowledge, resulting from the largest concentration of colleges and universities in the world (Mashiter, 2018; Verleun, 2018).

However, the contributions of these Entrepreneurial Universities to the innovation ecosystem are not clearly known, including companies, research centers, networks, start-ups, etc. The guiding question is: **how do Entrepreneurial Universities contribute to Boston's innovation ecosystem?** The general objective is to analyze how Entrepreneurial Universities in the region of Boston, USA, contribute to the local innovation ecosystem.

This research is justified due to Boston's innovation ecosystem being recognized as one of the most active centers of global innovation. The Boston ecosystem is among the five largest start-up ecosystems in the world (Start-up Genome, 2019). It is the innovation ecosystem most focused on innovation derived from universities, once there are 74 colleges and universities in the region, more than 265,000 students and eight research universities, which introduce 7 billion into the regional economy annually (Mashiter, 2018).

The Entrepreneurial University constitutes a relevant research field, since this model of academic institution has presented economic and social potential for the regions where it is located. A theoretical gap is perceived in studies in this field, as no case study was identified in the Scopus, Science Direct and Web of Science databases about Boston's innovation ecosystem and the role that universities in that region play on the ecosystem. This study also aims to contribute with academic managers who wish to implement programs and projects in educational institutions that are interconnected to the regional or national innovation ecosystem.

2 Theoretical reference

2.1 The phenomenon of innovation and its ecosystem

The knowledge era, hegemonic in the last decades, has replaced the old elements of economic production, land-capital-work, prevalent, since Adam Smith, with a new paradigm that evidences information, knowledge and innovation as fundamental elements to the modern economy (Tosta, 2012).

Industrialized countries make use of innovation to generate economic and social growth and development, and this phenomenon has also been investigated in an integrated way. The conceptualization of systemic processes of innovation adds interactivity to institutions of distinct characters to contribute to the development of a country, region, sector or locality. The relations between these agents contribute to the production, diffusion and use of knowledge (Cassiolato & Lastres, 2005).

The term innovation ecosystem only came into use in 2000 and the areas that use this concept are commonly: technology, open innovation, strategic management, economics, regional development and entrepreneurship. The innovation ecosystem presents similarities with the innovation system with regard to the study of the existing relations between economic, social and political actors, however it differs in emphasizing the interrelations and interdependence that the innovation ecosystem presents, because, the interactive parts need each other for the access to resources on which the entire ecosystem depends (Russo-Spena *et al.*, 2017).

The definitions of the innovation ecosystem, presented in Table 1, bring some elements in common: the interaction between inter-organizational agents; the inclusion of the environment, both physical and virtual; the existing flows between the agents and the unpredictability of actions and rules between the elements of the ecosystem.

Tabela 1- Framework of definitions of innovation ecosystem

Authors	Definitions
Namba, 2006	“An infrastructure to foster innovation where innovation providers and demanders interact as a strategic public. The user is called to participate as a co-creator of innovation”.
Sawatani <i>et al.</i> 2007	“Network structure that englobes connections for all participants, such as consumers, service providers, suppliers for companies, including the environment. These connections show value flows. [...]”
Guo, 2009	“It is an innovation system that contains innovative organisms and innovation environments with innovative material flow, energy flow and information flow in some time and space”.
Jishnu, Gilhotra, Mishra, 2011	“Inter-organizational, political, economic, environmental and technological systems through which an environment is propitious to growth”...
Thompson <i>et al.</i> 2012	“It is a set of components that work together to create an environment favorable to innovation and allow technology to last the entire life cycle”.
Komninos, Pallot, Schaffers, 2013	“A combination of ‘bottom up’ and ‘top down’ initiatives leading the collaboration network between the interested parties, which will finally be extending to real innovation communities”.

Source: adapted from Koslosky and Gauthier (2015)

In the innovation ecosystem, the intrinsic characteristic for it to be healthy and prosperous is when investments in research (whether from private, governmental or organizational sources) produce an increase in the development of ecosystem cooperation.

2.2 University: evolution and contemporaneity

Originating in the medieval institution, which had an emphasis on conservatism and knowledge transfer, the university, over the centuries, has evolved into an institution that generates knowledge and puts it to use (Etzkowitz, 2013).

Being recognized as generators of knowledge, universities at the beginning of the Industrial Revolution became part of the interests of private capital, coming up the approach to the productive sector and the attraction of investments. This approach resulted in new technical-scientific knowledge with industrial application, generated at the academy, which resulted in the “translation of research results into intellectual property and marketable knowledge products” (Plonski & Carrer, 2009, p. 109). From this milestone in the mid-twentieth century, another important transformation for the university emerged, the Second Academic Revolution, in which the intense involvement with technological innovation was incorporated into the university's mission (Plonski & Carrer, 2009).

This new generation of the university started to have significant relevance for the economic and social development, with more participation in the society in which it is inserted. The university emerged with a proactive function in the transfer of human resources and technology, not limited only to the generation of knowledge (Laredo, 2007; Carayannis & Campbell (2009).

In this context, it is understood the existence of two academic revolutions that affected the university and provided changes in its mission and, consequently, the improvement and development of the knowledge produced by it. These factors gave origin to the technological programs and triangular researches (with the participation of a candidate, a research program and a company's R&D department) and the “industry-university” collaboration (Laredo, 2007).

The denomination of Entrepreneurial University to the third generation of universities comes from its dynamism in seeking new sources of resources and relations with the environment (Etzkowitz, 2013; Salamzadeh *et al.*, 2011).

2.2 The Entrepreneurial University and its conceptualization

The third generation of universities, known as Entrepreneurial Universities, plays a significant role in the knowledge economy (Sooreh, Salamzadeh, Salamzadeh & Salamzadeh, 2011). Table 2 presents some definitions of the Entrepreneurial University provided by the international literature. Guerreiro *et al.* (2006) mention that there are some similar characteristics, which present the importance of elements that reach these universities, among them: the entrepreneurial activities of community members (academics and professors), the implementation of different strategies to improve the creation of new enterprises and the adjustments in the organizational structure of the universities.

Table 2 - Reference framework of Entrepreneurial University

Year-Author	Definition
2003 - Etzkowitz	The Entrepreneurial University is a natural incubator, providing support structures for professors and students to start new intellectual and commercial ventures.
2006 - Guerrero-Cano, Urbano and Kirby	An Entrepreneurial University is defined as one that has the capacity to innovate, recognize and create opportunities, work as a team, take risks and respond to challenges and, by itself, seeks to discover a substantial change in the organizational character to get to a more promising posture for the future.
2012- Guerrero-Cano and Urbano	An Entrepreneurial University could be defined as a survivor of competitive environments with a common strategy, oriented to be the best in all its activities (for example, having good finances, selecting good students and professors, producing quality researches).
2012 - Audretsch <i>et al.</i>	The role of universities is more than generating transfer of technology (patents, spin-offs and start-ups) and, on the contrary, contributing and providing leadership for the creation of entrepreneurial thinking, actions, institutions and entrepreneurial capital.
2014 - Guerrero Urbano, Cunningham and Organ	The nature of an Entrepreneurial University is such that graduates are seen not only as future job applicants, but also as future job creators, and the organization and content of teaching activities reflect this conception.
2015 - Cunha, Maculan	Term that characterizes universities in which the dimension of economic and social development gained strength and made them become proactive in seeking applications for their researches.
2015 - Tripl, Sinozic & Smith	The entrepreneurial model claims that universities promote the development of their regions by engaging in patents, licensing and academic activities derived from university disciplines, such as Engineering, Information Technology and Biotechnology, in which the knowledge produced overlaps products and processes more easily than industry and market structures can absorb.

Source: adapted from Budyldina, N. (2018); Guerrero, Kirby & Urbano, (2006).

Upon analyzing Table 2, it can be concluded that the Entrepreneurial University is a phenomenon that arose from the preparation of an “internal logic” of universities for academic development and that it was expanded from conservative academic entrepreneurship to knowledge-creating entrepreneurship (Etzkowitz, 2013). Today, Entrepreneurial Universities play an essential role in the economic development of different countries (Etzkowitz & Zhou, 2017; Centobelli, Cerchione & Esposito, 2019).

However, universities must retain their role of independence or freedom of choice regarding the definition of research areas that they consider important, aiming to evolve in theoretical knowledge and understandings, even in the absence of any immediate applicability or demand from government, companies or civil society (Redford & Fayolle, 2014).

With the evolution of traditional teaching and research functions to activities that involve the transfer of technology through links with industry and the dissemination of entrepreneurial thinking in the university community, Entrepreneurial Universities have facilitated organizations the creation of an innovation infrastructure and, consequently, of evolution, with direct links with them, which causes economic impact on a local, regional and national scale (Budyldina, 2018).

As the expansion of the university's role in society is verified, the image of an institution source of technological innovation and economic development is projected, which results in a trajectory of university transformation (Etzkowitz & Zhou, 2017). The Entrepreneurial University is the improvement of the Research University, which unites an inverse linear and feedbacked dynamics with the society, which uses the problem of industry and society for research in search of solutions (Etzkowitz & Zhou, 2017).

There are several distinct characteristics between Entrepreneurial Universities and research universities, among them is the connection to the innovation system or ecosystem. These universities incorporate networked approaches, development of cooperation based on technology and leadership of the local system of innovation. Programs, organizational forms and financing of external capital are characteristics of these universities that have become more than knowledge accumulators, but knowledge centers (Kirby, Guerrero & Urbano, 2011). The concept of Entrepreneurial University in the literature places it as one of the main propellers of a social system in which entrepreneurship can be considered both as a process and as a result (Klofsten, 2008).

3- Methodology

This research was classified as descriptive, of qualitative character, using the procedure of multiple case study (Chizzoti, 2018; Yin, 2015). The unit of analysis for this research is six US Entrepreneurial Universities: Babson College, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston University, Northeastern University, Harvard University and Olin College, all located in the Boston region. The researched institutions were selected by accessibility to the data, for they belonged to the "Entrepreneurial Universities Mission of Boston, Massachusetts", held in September 2018 and promoted by the Brazilian Micro and Small Business Support Service (SEBRAE), Paraná.

As an observation unit, there are the actions developed by the six universities and which contribute to the development of the innovation ecosystem of the Boston region and existing relations with other elements of that ecosystem.

Data collection occurred through interviews, direct observation and documentary research and was carried out in two moments. The first moment happened during the participation of one of the authors of the article in the "Entrepreneurial Universities Mission of Boston, Massachusetts". This mission had as objective to know and understand the structure and management of initiatives of the universities of Massachusetts, aiming to obtain concepts that can be implemented in public and private universities in the state of Paraná. The delegation members were Brazilian university professors and managers.

One of the techniques used for data collection was the non-participant observation carried out in the universities, more specifically in laboratories, entrepreneurship centers and incubators of the referred universities, in addition to the incubators, accelerators and private institutions belonging to the Boston innovation ecosystem. The data collection by observation took place from September 24th to 28th, 2018, which was documented through field notes. It was sought to observe how entrepreneurial education occurs in these universities and what links they develop with the nearby community, in this case, the innovation ecosystem of the locality. Structured interviews were also conducted with the subjects of research belonging to the groups of the entrepreneurial universities and Boston's regional innovation ecosystem. The interviews and oral communications also took place during the same period, which generated recordings of the audios that, added together, provided a total of 6 hours and 23 minutes. The recordings were authorized by the interviewees and lecturers.

In a second moment, documentary analysis on printed materials was carried out, collected *in loco*, virtual documents from universities and from incubators and accelerators belonging to the Boston's regional innovation ecosystem, which develop a work of mutual collaboration with the universities in the region. The documents analyzed were: slides provided by the lecturers at Babson College and MIT; reports: “A Year in Entrepreneurship at the Martin Trust Center, (2017)” and Entrepreneurship System Assessment from Northeast University”; in addition to the websites of the educational institutions and of the other organizations that make up the regional innovation ecosystem.

These documents were integrated with the other data and contributed to the findings of this research.

Table 3 outlines the route of the visits made to the Boston ecosystem. In the first part are the six universities with their respective researched sectors. In the sequence, other places visited and researched which are part of the regional ecosystem.

Table 3- Entrepreneurial Universities Mission, Boston, Massachusetts

	Technical Visits Theme	Lecturers and/or interviewees	
Babson College	Babson College about University	Dr. David Roache - Director of Business and Development at Babson	I1
	Babson College Workshop: Entrepreneurial Leadership & Innovation program for faculty	Dr. Jay Rao - Strategy and Innovation Professor at Babson	I2
	Babson Build: The Entrepreneurship Program for University Students	Dr. Nan Covert - Regional Director at Babson	I3
Olin	Olin College Visit	Student brainstorming	Lecture
MIT	MIT - Industrial Liaison Program – How to relate to MIT	Dr. Anthony Knopp - Director of the MIT Corporate Relations Program	I4
	MIT_The Martin Trust Center for Entrepreneurship (MIT Entrepreneurship Center)	Prof. Bill Aulet - Director of the Entrepreneurship Center	I5
	MIT - Visit to the Campus and Mechanical Engineering and Aircraft laboratories	Prof. Marcos Vinícius de Souza - Participant of MD-LAB	Lecture
	Entrepreneurship Competition MIT \$100 K	Ms. Sandra Coralles - Program Manager	Lecture
	MIT Media Lab - (Computing and Communication)	Dr. Caroline Rozendo - Research Assistant	Lecture
Boston University	Boston University - Boston como um ecossistema de inovação	Dr. Ian Mashiter - Diretor de Atividades Empreendedoras	I6
	Boston University - Teaching entrepreneurship within the curriculum	Dr. Joe Lipuma - College Director	Lecture
	Boston University - Buzz Lab and the role of extracurricular activities- (Question)	Dr. Peter Marton - Professor of Strategy and Innovation	I7
	Boston University - Build Lab - Student-led Entrepreneurship Center	2 Students - Center Program Managers	Lecture
	Boston University - Student Projects Pitch	2 Entrepreneurial students	Lecture
Harvard	Harvard - Conor J. Walsh Lab - Bio design Laboratory	Dr. Vinicius Cene - CNPQ researcher	I8
Northeastern University	Northeastern University Center for Entrepreneurship Education	Mrs. Kate Murdock - Member of the Board of IDEA Incubator	I9
	Northeastern University IDEA Incubator	Dr. Greg Collier - Professor of Practice Entrepreneurship and Innovation	Lecture

Source: research data (2019)

Table 4 presents the script for the interviews conducted with the subjects of the research.

Table 4- Interview script and analysis categories

Categories	Questions	Authors	
Entrepreneurial Univ.	Resources	What resources are available from the university to encourage entrepreneurship and innovation?	Salamzadeh <i>et al.</i> (2011); Etzkowitz (1983);
	Infrastructure	How does the university's infrastructure contribute to the development of the innovation ecosystem in Boston's region?	Salamzadeh <i>et al.</i> (2011); Kirby, <i>et al.</i> (2011);
	Teaching	How is the teaching of entrepreneurship and innovation structured at the university?	Salamzadeh <i>et al.</i> (2011); Kirby <i>et al.</i> (2011); Etzkowitz & Zhou (2017);
	Networking	What are the existing collaboration networks between the university and the actors of the regional ecosystem?	Salamzadeh <i>et al.</i> (2011); Guerrero <i>et al.</i> (2006);
	Entrepreneurship Centers	How do entrepreneurship centers work at the university? How do entrepreneurship centers work at the university and how do they contribute to the innovation ecosystem?	Salamzadeh <i>et al.</i> (2011); Kirby <i>et al.</i> (2011); Audretsch, Hülsbeck & Lehmann (2012);
Ecosystem	Authors	Which actors make up the Boston ecosystem?	Etzkowitz & Leydesdorff, 2000; Carayannis & Campbell (2009)
	Context	Why does the Boston region make up an ecosystem that fosters entrepreneurship with innovation?	Carayannis & Campbell (2009);

Source: research data (2019)

For the analysis of the qualitative information collected, the thematic categorical analysis technique was used, which inserts in the ambit of content analysis techniques and aims to identify the items of significance from the set of statements obtained. For Bardin (2010, p. 48), “the objective of content analysis is the manipulation of the message (content and expressions of that content) to present the evidence that allow inferring about a reality other than that of the message”.

4 Data Analysis and Discussion of Results

The grouping of data occurred in accordance with the categories and subcategories of analysis presented in Table 4, which constitutes of “a priori category”, which come from the literature. The statements correspond to the respondents mentioned in Table 3.

4.1 Resources

Babson's university has shown that its human resources have the greatest focus of action. It aims to stimulate the development of professors so that they act increasingly closer to the reality in which the student is inserted.

Our resources are directed to a creative environment. We provide current courses and materials so that students have access to a new way of thinking, a developed mindset to develop business in an innovative way (I2).

The MIT university proposes to enhance investments. This occurs by the use of a “high practical impact” research and also by searching for the best students (I4). It can be considered as a culture for the institution, since “hands and minds” has been its motto since its foundation, that is, the valorization of useful work and economic and social development is the institution's primary philosophy. This fact is emphasized by Roberts & Eesley (2009).

Our resources are designed to bring the best minds to study here. Here there is meritocracy in the honest and complete sense of this thought, it is not only people with money who can enter MIT, here more than 25% of incoming students every year are the first in the family to enter higher education. In financial resources, 20% of the amount allocated to research comes from industries.

But at MIT we do not do R&D (research and development), we do the research and later students do the development through their founded companies (I4).

It was emphasized, therefore, that the financial resources to give support to the scholarships of the MIT research groups come from the government, from organizational foundations that generate scholarship for students or fellowships, destined to students of higher level as master's and doctorate. Another source of funds is the endowment, which is the financial resources donated by the institution's alumni.

Another important university that is in the region of Boston's innovation ecosystem is Harvard University. Its physical and intellectual resources provide students with a world-excellence academic and research experience and the university aims to develop leaders who make a difference in the world (Harvard, 2019). Its physical and intellectual resources provide students with a world-excellence academic and research experience and the university aims to develop leaders who make a difference in the world (Harvard, 2019). As a result of its structure and success history, it has predisposition to attract financing from different sources. Harvard controls about US\$ 37.6 billion in patrimonial funds, the largest university fund in the world.

4.2 Infrastructure

In terms of internal infrastructure, MIT stands out for providing an integration between the buildings and departments of the university. The institution aims to create an interdisciplinary culture among the fields of acting of the institution, the infrastructure contributes for researches and teaching to occur in an interdisciplinary way.

MIT's internal infrastructure is directed to provide interdisciplinarity, for the facilities are integrated. This thought is important for the institution, the buildings are connected by tunnels and walkways. In the educational structure, an interdisciplinary nature is also perceived, which is made available by the format of the research programs. The disciplines act in a vertical way, for the educational background of students. The research structure is aligned in a horizontal way, in which the different fields act in an interdisciplinary manner, depending on the research theme.

Harvard University's infrastructure has research centers and dozens of laboratories that receive more than US\$ 800 million in funds intended for innovation development (Harvard, 2019). Among the various laboratories present is Conor J. Walsh Lab – Biodesign Laboratory observed *in loco* that serves as an example to understand the idiosyncrasy of the university's research laboratories. The Biodesign laboratory aims to increase and restore human performance using a range of research tools that create medical electromechanical and robotic devices for use by humans with certain physical and motor disabilities.

Northeastern University is a teaching and research university involved in innovation and has in its culture an entrepreneurial action. This is perceived through the various programs, laboratories and spaces destined for entrepreneurship. Table 5 shows the university's involvement with the innovation ecosystem in which it is inserted and its organizational infrastructure for the encouragement and development of innovation and entrepreneurship.

Table 5- Northeastern University innovation structure

<i>Idea Accelerator</i>	<i>Venture Entrepreneurs Club</i>	IDEA is a venture accelerator administrated by students that provides a variety of resources for Northeastern affiliate entrepreneurs who wish to start their own businesses.
<i>Center for Entrepreneurship Education</i>		The Entrepreneurs Club brings together students from different fields to build meaningful relationships and companies.
<i>Health Sciences Entrepreneurs</i>		It is a resource of every university that integrates courses in entrepreneurship and innovation, entrepreneurial cooperatives in early stage companies, incubation of ventures from our venture accelerator in the campus, IDEA, and financing and launch of ventures, helping our network of entrepreneurs, the local Angel community and Venture Capital.
<i>Michael J. and Ann Sherman Center for Engineering Entrepreneurship Education</i>		It is a group of alumni dedicated to promoting entrepreneurship in the world in rapidly evolution of health care.
		The Sherman Center's mission is to allow students' interdisciplinary entrepreneurship in the broadest sense, providing education on tools, concepts and resources to promote creativity and the capacity to develop commercially viable ideas.

<i>Scout</i>	It is the student-led design studio at Northeastern University. Creative problem solvers who use design thinking to create innovative experiences for our customers, our team and the university community.
--------------	---

Source: research data (2019)

Some highlights points appear in the Ice Venture Accelerator, which is managed by students and has the purpose of developing and launching successful companies in the market. The financial resources for the accelerator are raised through sponsorship from companies and alumni. Northeast University does not use government incentives in these programs, it uses them uniquely in research programs.

4.3 Teaching

By the research data, it was found that Babson College bases its teaching philosophy focused on the of entrepreneurship teaching, innovation and leadership. This way, some data are presented that base and describe the prevalence of the university in this direction.

Babson College is a century-old university that calls itself a business school. It acts with an educational orientation focused on entrepreneurial thinking in all its activities and is also intended to train professors of entrepreneurship and innovative leadership. It emphasizes the entrepreneurial academic training of women, 44% of postgraduate students are female and also focus on foreign students, with 27% of graduate students falling into this category.

From the theories and activities of practical laboratories in the university, entrepreneurial thinking and action are disseminated, which occurs in a curricular and co-curricular form. Some disciplines are mandatory, such as the Foundations of Management and Entrepreneurship, however, there are 25 disciplines of entrepreneurship between the mandatory and the elective ones for undergraduate and postgraduate *lato sensu* and *stricto sensu*. Among the disciplines and short term courses offered, there are more than 80 different types, from theoretical foundations to practical disciplines, such as the purchase of a small company or the commercialization of technology. The university seeks to distinguish itself by offering a practical and collaborative environment in which suppositions are questioned and ideas are valued.

Babson presents a segmentation and market positioning by which it distinguishes itself from other universities for favoring a training oriented to entrepreneurial leadership. This way, they aim to develop mental and behavioral skills in the students that favor the performance in the job market as entrepreneurs of their own business or as entrepreneurial leaders in small, medium and large companies, with or without profit, private or governmental.

At university, 40% of students come from family businesses and must be prepared to be future chief executive officers to think and act as CEOs, to make decisions on boards of directors. The university's main objective is to shape the mindset and focus on building students' skills, as business tools are easily available in the mass media.

Franklin W. Olin University of Engineering is a College of Engineering with prominence in the country due to its classification in the courses of Electrical Engineering and Mechanical Engineering. The foundation of the culture and philosophy of this university is "first do and then learn". Thus, students start with practical activities and test new ideas and then develop theoretical classes on applied concepts. It is about a new university, for it was created in 1997 and is built on a campus attached to Babson College University (Olin, 2019).

The formation of Engineering is carried out differently from the traditional one, with more emphasis on innovation and entrepreneurship and on solving real problems in the contemporary world. Also in the training of future engineers, there are programs in the field of the arts such as photography, communication design, art, among others. Students work in an interdisciplinary way in the different fields of Engineering. The teams receive training for entrepreneurs to know more deeply about the markets and customers for which they are developing products. The last year students participate in the Olin's Senior Capstone Program in Engineering (SCOPE), a development program of a real project, with a year-long duration, which is sponsored by engineering and technology customers from the Boston ecosystem.

The Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) is made up of three emphasis of actuation: the research, with laboratories, nuclei and programs; the education, with a structure of 32 departments in five colleges - 1st is Engineering, 2nd is Science, 3rd is Architecture and Urban Planning, 4th is Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences and 5th is Business School (Sloan School of Management) - and innovation, which occurs through 85 interactions (courses, activities, programs, competitions, among others) that promote innovation through the effect of entrepreneurship. It is noted in Figure 1 the view offered by MIT.



Figure 1 Acting areas of MIT
Source: research data (2019)

The structure of MIT courses is vertical and horizontal. In the vertical scope are the different areas of training, it is the structure that provides classes and academic training. In the horizontal structure there are research centers, programs or laboratories, which are organized in an interdisciplinary way, and not in the of a discipline format. The entire teaching staff is also involved in research, thus, existing a network of contacts that is formed within the university itself that contributes to educational training.

Boston University denotes a vision of the teaching of entrepreneurship driven to show what entrepreneurship is in an integral way. Transposes technological entrepreneurship, seeks to provide a vision of business focused on various areas such as Arts, Architecture, Sciences, among others. The vision of teaching entrepreneurship is worked mostly by teamwork and develops in curricular, co-curricular and extracurricular activities, surpassing the university barrier. The curriculum provides a wide variety of courses related to entrepreneurship. For graduation there are more than 30 elective disciplines to be chosen, depending on the student's training concentration.

4.4 Networking

Babson College's collaboration network is more targeted at other universities and institutions around the world. The Babson Collaborative for Entrepreneurship Education Association is a membership organization for institutional members, who pay annual fees in order to build and expand their capacity of education for entrepreneurship.

Babson Collaborative for Entrepreneurship Education aims to increase the capacity of education for entrepreneurship through the sharing of beliefs between the institutions, by the search for orientation and network formation. Therefore, it has the mission of connecting institutions that aspire to build and develop programs to encourage entrepreneurship (13).

The MIT teaching and research institute has attributes that distinguish it from other higher education institutions. At MIT there is a search for “practical impact” research, and this is achieved through different means of contact with society and also with the local innovation ecosystem, which is called by them as “open-air incubator”. One of the predominant programs of information network is the Visiting Committees. This program consists of 31 committees that meet every two years, on average. It has already existed for 120 years and operates as an advisory group on academic programs. The composition of these committees is representative, with scientists, engineers, entrepreneurs, executives and educators, many of whom are graduates of the institute. It is normally composed of 17 members, including five members of the corporation (with the president included), six alumni and six members appointed by the president of the institution (MIT, 2019).

Formal infrastructures contribute to the interaction with the innovation ecosystem, not only local, but worldwide and are divided into three acting offices, described in Table 6.

Table 6- Interaction with the innovation ecosystem

Offices

- a) MIT Technology Licensing Office (TLO) directed to intellectual property issues, which is basically a technology that comes out from the university for the industry to create new products.
 - b) MIT Office of Sponsored Programs (OSP), which is a large accounting firm, which manages the researches financial negotiations.
 - c) Office of Corporate Relations - The Industrial Liaison Program (ILP) and MIT Startup Exchange - the first office acts to create and maintain mutually beneficial relations between MIT and the corporations all over the world. Liaison is a French word that provides the idea of "midfield". "We do this in an easy way and custom-made for both sides, we provide information to affiliated industrial companies" (I4). The second office actively promotes collaboration between start-ups and industries already connected to MIT (2019).
-

Source: Research data (2019)

4.5 Entrepreneurship centers

The MIT entrepreneurship center is called the Martin Trust Center for MIT Entrepreneurship and its mission is to promote entrepreneurial knowledge and education orientated to innovation in a way that meets the needs of the 21st century world. Provides service to all MIT students, regardless of college or disciplines attended.

Here we have educators, entrepreneurs, economic developers and we also have investors, they are all different pillars. However, our job is not to focus on the fish, it is to teach how to fish, our aim is to create entrepreneurs. We are always asked: "How many companies have you already started?" And we answer: "we can answer the question, but it is the wrong question", there are many companies created, but our focus is on creating more entrepreneurs, increasing the number of entrepreneurs, the quality of entrepreneurs, and also seeing if they are connected (I5).

According to the interviewee's report 5, it is possible to see that university educators need to have clear objectives of what the entrepreneurship center wants. Stimulate the entrepreneurial mindset, create business models in their distinct phases and create companies that, linked to the local innovation ecosystem, can develop satisfactorily.

The student is at the core of the activities of the MIT entrepreneurship center, the activities are divided into five groups presented in detail in Table 7.

Table 7- Activities of the MIT Entrepreneurship Center

division	Description
Infrastructure	Composed of a network of businessmen, MIT resources for entrepreneurship, state-of-the-art multipurpose installations, research and a network of professional consultants;
Programs	They are currently operationalized by the summer startup studio activities in New York, MIT's Delta V, BU Law Clinic, practice leaders of the sector, Eship traineeship, student clubs and initiatives, awards (Eddies, Mcgovern, Nomosson) and MIT fuse;
Events	T = O (event that occurs at the beginning of the school year). It is identified among students by the expression "the time is now" and also special activities (distinguished visitors, series of lectures, among others);
Divulagation	It occurs through executive education, Regional Entrepreneurship Acceleration Program (REAPE), corporate donors, relations with alumni, partnerships between campus and EdX-MitX - EdX is a massive provider of open online courses / MITx is an open online program of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology;
Academy and classes	In this segment, it's worked with entrepreneurship and innovation in a focus on skills development, partnerships with industries and in-company experiences (Aulet, Chen, Cotter, & Hunter, 2017).

Source: research data (2019)

At the Martin Trust Center for Entrepreneurship is the E-Center, which concentrates exclusively on the commercialization of technologies developed by MIT students. Through the E-Center, it is developed one of the most well-known annual entrepreneurship competitions in the USA, the MIT \$100K.

When the student goes through a competition among students, it is the moment when the student's mindset changes from researcher to entrepreneur. This type of simple award is intended to change the mindset and build a business model to be tested (I9).

The entrepreneurship center at Boston University is called The Build Lab Space and uses a concept in which students need to know how to experiment something even with incomplete information and need to test hypotheses, find truths, learn from experience and adjust the business plans. To this end, the center seeks to develop activities that stimulate student skills such as the creation of problem solutions, identification of opportunities, experimentation and prototyping, executed failures management, collaboration and teamwork, business ethics and business creation with significant social impact (17).

The center's programming is structured from a funnel that has its flow from top to bottom. The funnel represents the application of activities that take place during an academic year and is a competition that aims to activate the entrepreneurial spirit and unleash impact for society (BU, 2019). Figure 2 illustrates the steps taken during the school year in this competition called New Venture Competition.




Figure 2 Competition steps for entrepreneurs at Boston University.
source: research data (2019)

One of the main activities of the Boston University entrepreneurship center is the New Venture Competition, which is a competition for new ventures. It is developed in three steps that offer winners the opportunity to receive US\$ 18,000 in investments in their ideas. It is also divided into two distinct groups: line for technological ideas and line for social impact businesses. This broadly corroborates the thinking of some authors such as Redford and Fayolle (2014) and also Siegel & Wright (2015) regarding the structure of the entrepreneurial university with a center for entrepreneurship, competitions, accelerators and incubators.

4.6 Ecosystem actors

Universities are predominant institutions in the Boston innovation ecosystem. In this region there are 74 colleges and universities, among them many punctuated in world classifications as the best in their sector of actuation. In this region, more than 265,000 students are studying, who are inserted with a great impact on the region's ecosystem. The Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) is one of the institutions that most relate to the ecosystem. The institution began a collaborative relation with the electrical industries more than 100 years ago. At the time, these industries depended on cutting-edge science for their advances. These industrial relations fostered an entrepreneurial approach to some researches with the inclusion of patenting and dismemberment. Over time, collaborative relations expanded and the contributions that academic knowledge provided to society and, also in a reverse way, society contributed to researches, formed the profile of institutions that today constitute the University of MIT (Etzkowitz & Zhou, 2017).

The government is one of the actors argued by the triple helix theory (Etzkowitz, 2009), which is also active in this ecosystem. A clear intervention by this body is viewed by the Cambridge Innovation Center Institute, which is a co-working space that aims to strengthen the local innovation ecosystem. It is used by entrepreneurs who use information services there, sharing state-of-the-art laboratories and a network of possible contacts due to the peculiarity of the location. The local government, in the person

of Mayor Martin J. Walsh, also acts in the development of the ecosystem by facilitating financing and other government instruments that stimulate the creation of new ventures (Verleun, 2018).

Companies - 51% of start-ups are related to the field of life science, 37% are communication technology companies and 12% call themselves consumer technology (Questrom School, 2018).

4.7 Ecosystem context

When an innovation ecosystem is developed, there is a behavior common to large corporations that, in the search for reduction of internal research and development costs, use open innovation. This process occurs with the purchase of start-ups, which are often already obtaining real profits from the sale of their products or in the purchase of start-ups that do not have profits yet and, thus, are sold below the investments raised and their evaluation. The gain for corporations is in technology and the business developed idea. Some authors, such as Adner (2006), Adner & Kapoor (2010), Chesbrough & Appleyard (2007), report the importance of the innovation ecosystem for the development of open innovation. It is relevant as a way of growing way for the large corporations and the generation of economic and social development for the installed locations.

For a start-up to exist and develop, investments are needed, which in the context of Boston's innovation ecosystem begins from small internal competitions at the university and later international competitions, until it reaches the first round of external investments, a moment when the documentary formalization of the company is carried out.

In American culture, there is a usual behavior of investments in companies bigger than in other countries, this can occur through investments in the stock exchange or through investments in start-ups. Traditional investment funds like banks provide very low income (I10).

Boston's innovation ecosystem works in different ways, depending on the university. Each university also acts differently for the several stages of the formation of a start-up.

4.8 Actions by universities that contribute to the local innovation ecosystem.

Etzkowitz and Zhou (2017) state that the university takes a proactive role in the region's innovation capabilities when it is in the third phase, already consolidated as an Entrepreneurial University. This third phase refers to education largely focused on the development of the entrepreneurial mindset (first phase) and the complete implementation of the strategic infrastructure in the creation of new enterprises (second phase). It also includes changes in the organizational structure of the universities, aiming to meet the third mission of the universities and create economic and social development in the region in which it is inserted (third phase of the Entrepreneurial University).

The Entrepreneurial University, in the third phase, has the capacity of absorption of regional innovation and is operationalized as a business support structure for networks of angel investors, capital ventures, public relations and law firms specialized in supporting the formation of companies and the development of clusters (Etzkowitz & Zhou, 2017). The elements presented in Table 8 can be considered as being actions of Entrepreneurial Universities in the Boston region, USA, which contribute to the local ecosystem.

Table 8- Actions of Entrepreneurial Universities towards the ecosystem

Actuations
a) Training of technologically qualified students;
b) building of an entrepreneurial mindset in students;
c) research groups with a multidisciplinary character;
d) collaborative research groups with industries and companies;
e) research groups for governmental demands;
f) competitions that include extra-university students;
g) formation of start-ups with business model validation;
h) contribution to economic development through the application of financial resources received;
i) contribution to social development by fostering the development of the region.

Source: Research Result (2019)

Nine contributions were detected as a result of the analysis of the data obtained. Thus, it is hoped that the high development of Boston's innovation ecosystem can somehow provide contributions of paths of conduct and actions for the development of other ecosystems also led and developed from the local universities. These contributions meet the thinking of Budyldina (2018), who defends the Entrepreneurial University as an institution with direct links to the economic impact on a local, regional and national scale.

It is noticed that, in most of the universities surveyed, there is academic independence for the elaboration of the researches, even having the collaboration of companies/industries. Universities have their role of institutional independence, or freedom of choice, regarding the definition of research areas that they consider important in order to evolve in theoretical knowledge and understandings, despite the absence of any immediate applicability or demand from government, companies or civil society as defended by Hansen and Lehmann (2006).

5 Final considerations

The main objective of this research was to answer the following question: how do Entrepreneurial Universities contribute to Boston's innovation ecosystem? For this, technical visits were made at the universities: Babson College, Olin College, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston University, Northeastern University and Harvard University, all located in the region of Boston, USA.

Data collection took place during visits. Nine interviews were carried out and eight participations in lectures were presented by leaders of the institutions. The collection also occurred through non-participant observation and document analysis on materials provided for analysis and the institutions' website.

As a result of the research on how Entrepreneurial Universities contribute to Boston's innovation ecosystem, elements were raised that provide evidence of the contributions described below: training of technologically qualified students; building of an entrepreneurial mindset in students; research groups with a multidisciplinary character; collaborative research groups with industries and companies; research groups for governmental demands; competitions that include extra-university students; formation of start-ups with business model validation; contribution to economic development through the application of financial resources received; contribution to social development by fostering/stimulating the development of the region.

As the main limitation of the research, there is the lack of answers by all respondents to all questions of the interview, so it was not possible to seek data saturation nor the formation of new categories *a posteriori*.

This research aimed to contribute with different channels of society, considering that the university model called Entrepreneurial University has presented potential for economic and social progress in the regions in which they are located. It is known of the existing concerns on the part of these institutions with regard to this connection, concerns related to the autonomy of this important entity. However, it is believed that the Entrepreneurial University may come to provide greater power to the university because it makes it the propellant of innovation in the place where it is located and in this way, this institution, students' trainer with an entrepreneurial mindset, may contribute to sustainable development to regions through their specific vocations.

As a contribution to the academy, this investigation provides a framework of definitions of the Entrepreneurial University and for the innovation ecosystem, the contributions that the Entrepreneurial Universities provide to the Boston innovation ecosystem were also presented and analyzed, data that cannot be generalized to the population, but possible to provide theoretical generalizations. This study also aimed to contribute with academic managers who want to know more about the ways to implement programs and projects in educational institutions that are interconnected to society.

It is suggested, for other researches, the verification of the contributions that Brazilian entrepreneurial universities have provided to ecosystems of local innovation. And also, what are the fundamental steps for entrepreneurial universities to start implementing an innovation ecosystem from the university, having it as the center of an innovation hub.

References

- Adner, R. (2006). Match your innovation strategy to your innovation ecosystem. *Harvard Business Review*, 84, 98–110.
- Audretsch, D. B., Hülsbeck, M., & Lehmann, E. E. (2012). Regional competitiveness, university spillovers, and entrepreneurial activity. *Small Business Economics*, 39(3), 587–601.
- Bardin, L. (2010). *Análise de conteúdo*. Lisboa: Edições 70.
- Bennis, W., & Nanus, B. (1985). *The strategies for taking charge*. Leaders, New York: Harper. Row.
- Budyldina, N. (2018). Entrepreneurial universities and regional contribution. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 14(2), 265-277.
- Bueno, N. P. (2017). Instituições e políticas para o desenvolvimento tecnológico regional na nova economia do conhecimento: uma análise para o Brasil utilizando o modelo da tripla hélice. *Revista Gestão & Tecnologia*, 17(4), 13-42.
- Carayannis, E. G., & Campbell, D. F. J. (2009). Mode 3' and Quadruple Helix: toward a 21st century fractal innovation ecosystem, *International Journal Of Technology Management*, 46, 201-234
- Cassiolato, J. E., & Lastres, H. M. M. (2005). Sistemas de inovação e desenvolvimento: as implicações de política. *São Paulo em Perspectiva*, 19(1), 34-45.
- Centobelli, P., Cerchione, R., & Esposito, E. (2019). Exploration and exploitation in the development of more entrepreneurial universities: A twisting learning path model of ambidexterity. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 141, 172-194.
- Chizzotti, A. (2018). *Pesquisa em ciências humanas e sociais*. São Paulo: Cortez.
- Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (Feb. 2000). The dynamics of innovation: from national systems and “Mode 2” to a triple helix of university-industry-government relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2).
- Etzkowitz, H., & Zhou, C. (2017). *The triple helix: University–industry–government innovation and entrepreneurship*. New York: Routledge.
- Etzkowitz, H. (2013). Anatomy of the entrepreneurial university. *Social Science Information*, 52(3), 486-511.
- Fagerberg, J., Mowery, D. C., e Nelson, R. R. (Eds.). (2005). *The Oxford handbook of innovation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Farsi, J. Y., Imanipour, N., & Salamzadeh, A. (2012). Entrepreneurial university conceptualization: case of developing countries. *Global Business and Management Research: An International Journal*, 4(2), 193-204.
- Guerrero, M., Kirby, D., & Urbano, D. (2006). A literature review on entrepreneurial universities: na institutional approach. *Anais da 3rd Conference of Pre-communications to Congresses*. Business Economic Departmente, Autonomous University of Barcelona.
- Hansen, J. A., & Lehmann, M. (2006). Agents of change: universities as development hubs. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 14(9-11), 820-829.
- Kirby, D. A., Guerrero, M., & Urbano, D. (2011). The theoretical and empirical side of entrepreneurial universities: An institutional approach. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 28(3), 302–316.
- Klofsten, M. (2008). Supporting academic enterprise: A case study of an entrepreneurship programme. *New Technology-Based Firms at the New Millennium*, 53-67.
- Koslosky, M. A. N., de Moura Speroni, R., & Gauthier, O. (2015). Ecosistemas de inovação: uma revisão sistemática da literatura. (ano 2015). *Revista ESPACIOS*, 36(03).
- Laredo, P. (2007). Revisiting the third mission of universities: toward a renewed categorization of university activities? *Higher education policy*, 20(4), 441-456.

- Mashiter, I. (2018). *Boston as an ecosystem of innovation. Immersion in the Boston Ecosystem*. Director of Entrepreneurship Activities, University Boston UB, USA.
- Redford, D. T., & Fayolle, A. (2014). Stakeholder management and the entrepreneurial university. *Handbook on the entrepreneurial university*, 11-24.
- Russo-Spena, T., Tregua, M., & Bifulco, F. (2017). Searching through the jungle of innovation conceptualisations: System, network and ecosystem perspectives. *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, 27(5), 977-1005.
- Salamzadeh, A., Salamzadeh, Y., & Daraei, M. (2011). Toward a systematic framework for an entrepreneurial university: a study in Iranian context with an IPOO model. *Global Business and Management Research: an International Journal*, 3(1), 31-37.
- Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2015). Academic entrepreneurship: time for a rethink? *British Journal of Management*, 26(4), 582-595.
- Sooreh, L. K., Salamzadeh, A., Safarzadeh, H., & Salamzadeh, Y. (2011). Defining and measuring entrepreneurial universities: a study in Iranian context using importance-performance analysis and TOPSIS technique. *Global Business and Management Research: An International Journal*, 3(2), 182-199.
- Start-up Genome, (2019). *Global start-up ecosystem report*. Retrieved from: start-up-genome.com/reports/global-start-up-ecosystem-report-2019.
- Tosta, K. C. B. T. (2012). *A universidade como catalisadora da inovação tecnológica baseada em conhecimento*. 2012. 239 f. Tese (Doutorado em Engenharia e Gestão do Conhecimento) - Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brasil.
- Vasconcelos, M. C. R. L. (2017). Ciência, tecnologia e inovação na Europa: uma análise do desempenho dos sistemas de inovação, com base em indicadores. *Revista Gestão & Tecnologia*, 17(4), 107-128.
- Verleun, S. (2018). *5 reasons why Boston's innovation ecosystem is unique*. Dashmote. Retrieved from: <https://www.dashmote.com/blog/5-reasons-why-bostons-innovation-ecosystem-is-unique>.
- Yin, R. K. (2015). *Pesquisa estudo de caso-desenho e métodos*. Porto Alegre: Bookman.